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Expectations for Multi-access Edge Computing (MEC)

- Improve responsiveness
  - Data is processed at edge server rather than data center
  - Multiple edge servers are deployed close to users
  - Using communication distance can be reduced
  - Load can be distributed
- Provide services flexibly
  - Service functions are deployed on virtual machines (VMs) in virtualized environment
  - VM locations are changed flexibly
    - By live migration of VMs

Service Application for MEC

- Monitoring agent service using augmented reality (AR)
  - Robots go to a physical place
    - The robots are equipped with sensors and cameras
  - Users can monitor from home, as if they were there
- Using AR, object information is added to the video taken by the robots
  - AR services require low latency
    - Because it is needed to analyze, process and display video in real-time
  - AR functions are expected to reduce RTT and ensure high bandwidth

Concerns about Responsiveness in MEC Environment

- Increase of processing delay
  - Software operation in virtualized environment
  - Lower processing capability compared to data center
- Penalties of VM live migration
  - Temporary delays or packet loss may occur
    - during connection re-establishment (service downtime)

Application-level delay

- End-to-end delay experienced by users
  - Includes
    - Propagation delay at Layer 2
    - Network-level delay in socket at Layer 3 and 4
    - Processing delay at Layer 7
- Relate to quality of experience (QoE)
  - Our experiment will contribute to understanding QoE in MEC environments
  - QoE metrics include delay experienced by users

Purpose and Approach

- Purpose
  - Investigating the effects of service function relocation on application-level delay in MEC environment
- Approach
  - Constructing MEC environment and experimenting with it
- Steps
  1. Construct MEC environment using OpenStack
  2. Implement MEC service
  3. Measure application-level delay and reveal its factors at each node
  4. Investigate effects and penalties of live migration
Construction of the MEC Environment

- Connect servers, a PC and a robot with switches
  - Constructed in a LAN
    - In actual environment, the network delay to DC server (data center) is about 100 ms
- Use OpenStack for MEC's virtualization environment
  - OpenStack is open source software for building virtualized environments
- Use OpenStack compute nodes as edge servers
  - Service functions are displayed as VM

Nodes in the MEC Environment

- Edge servers
  - Set-up at both of user side and robot side
  - Implemented using OpenStack compute nodes
  - Runs functions in virtualized environment
- DC server
  - Operates as a data center
  - Runs functions not in virtualized environment
- User PC
  - General PC
- Robot
  - “Pepper” manufactured by SoftBank Robotics
  - Camera is equipped
  - Connected to network via Wi-Fi

Implemented MEC Service

- Video live streaming form robot to user
  1. Take video using camera equipped with robot
  2. Live-stream video form robot to edge server
  3. Add text on video at edge server
  4. Stream to edge server
  5. Play video at user PC
- Using FFmpeg, FFserver and FFplay
  - FFserver uses UDP and TCP for reception and transmission, respectively, because of its specification

Four Scenarios with Different Service Provision Forms

- MEC scenarios
  - Edge-User-Side
    - Use the edge server of user side
    - Virtualized environment
    - TCP path length: Short
  - Edge-Robot-Side
    - Use the edge server of robot side
    - Virtualized environment
    - TCP path length: Long
- Comparisons
  - Data-Center
    - Non-virtualized environment
    - TCP path length: Long
  - Direct
    - Stream directly from robot to PC
    - Aims to measure processing time at end devices

The Way of Application-level Delay Measurement

- Delay of live streaming video
  1. Display digital clock in front of robot
  2. It is displayed on user PC for time synchronization
  3. Display streamed video next to clock on user PC
  4. Take a screenshot per second for 100 seconds
  5. Calculate the average displayed times in each screenshot

Result of Application-level Delay Measurement

- Delay-related to video processing: 28.85 ms
  - Server processing: 7.60 ms
  - Protocol overhead: 21.25 ms
- Increase due to virtualization: 13.04 ms
  - Increase of server processing: 4.00 ms
  - Increase of protocol overhead: 9.04 ms

Difference from the result of scenario Direct (425.19 ms) is shown
Conclusion and Future Work

• Conclusion
  • Purpose
    • Investigating the effects of service function relocation on application-level delay in MEC environment
  • Approach
    • Constructing MEC environment and experimenting with it
  • Result and evaluation
    • Providing services using edge servers can reduce application-level delays by 30% at the maximum
    • Service function relocation is useful for maintaining application-level delay
  • Future work
    • Perform live migration and evaluate the effects at larger scales
    • Construct MEC environment in metropolis area network (VAN) or wide area network (WAN)

Comparison between Current IoT Environment and MEC

• Delay to data center occurs in the order of 100 ms
  • Assume delay due to the distance is 200 ms at the maximum
  • Increase of delay due to virtualization is 13.04 ms
  • Application-level delays are reduced by 30% at the maximum

Current IoT Environment (Data Center + 200 ms)

- Delay due to the distance: 200 ms
- Delay due to virtualization: 13.04 ms

MEC Environment (Migration delay)

- Application-level delays are reduced by 30% at the maximum

Responsiveness is improved by providing services using edge servers

Live Migration Scenario and Setting

• Generate background traffic
  • To cause packet loss at edge server of user side
  • Increase monotonically
  • Use 1 Gbps network interface
    • Traffic of live streaming is about 3.37 Mbps
  • Live-migrate VM from user side to robot side based on total amount of traffic
  • Start when total traffic exceeds 950 Mbps
    • Over 1 Gbps traffic causes packet loss due to exceeding the capacity of the interface
    • Use WiMax to connect the interface
  • Traffic of migration uses out-band network
    • Management network for communication among OpenStack nodes
    • Migration is not interfered by background traffic

Live Migration Level Delay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact of Live Migration

• Application-level delay and packet loss
  • Significant delay or packet loss occurred with increase of background traffic
  • Application-level delay clearly worsen when receiving rate drops to 80%
  • Extremely large application-level delays
  • Damaged video frames

Penalty of VM live migration

• The penalty of migration is not large
  • Time required to migration about 13 seconds
  • Without increase of delay and packet loss
  • Communication downtime about 0.5 seconds
  • With temporary blocking artifacts on video

Service function relocation is useful for maintaining application-level delay

Conclusion on Processing Time at End Device

• Delay of about 400 ms occurs in the robot
  • The result of scenario Direct is 425.19 ms
  • Most of this delay is caused by compression of the video in the robot

In the future, the delay expected to be reduced to about 40 ms

• That is when Core i7 or equivalent CPU is applied to robot products
  • FLOPS of CPU on Pepper robot is about one tenth of Intel Core i7
  • Pepper robot is equipped with Intel Atom E880

Lead to increase the proportion of delay occurring in the network.

Considering improvement of end device performance, it will be effective to provide services using edge servers.